#* ***********************************	Approved For Release	2008/01/31 : CIA-RDP8	4B00148R0005009800	006-9
ROUTING AND	TRANSMITTAL SLIP	29 Dec 1982	12 #	P/ DEXP 25X1
TO: (Name, office symbouilding, Agency/P	ol, room number, ost)	Initials Date		25X1
1.				
CIAP Monitor				
<ol><li>Program and B</li></ol>	udget Office			25X1
Intelligence	Community Staff			
3. Washington, D	. C. 20505			
_				
4.				. 1
_			1012	#
D.	File	Note and Return		# -2886 5501
Action Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation		
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply		08/
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me	62	-7116
Comment	Investigate	Signature	12	DE U
Coordination	Justify			-CA1
REMARKS				$(\ )\ U\ ($
Directorate o	l is the response preport of Operations' Evaluations'	tion and Program		
Design Staff SSCI in its I send this res	to questions on CRAF FY 1983 Report (pp. 4 sponse to	7-48). Please		25X1
DO NOT use this form	m as a RECORD of approvals, clearances, and similar action	concurrences, disposals,	,	
FROM: (Name, org. syr	······································	Room No.—Bldg.		•
Contract of the second		4F06 Hqs		
	Acting CIA Comptroll			25X1 <sub>1</sub>
5041-102	OFFINET Prescribed	L FORM 41 (Rev. 7-75)		
☆ GPO : 1981 O - 341-5	STAKE! FPMR 192.8	FRI 181-11.206		

## Distribution:

- Orig Addressee

  1 ExDir

  1 C/LLD/OEXA

  1 D/OEXA

  1 Compt Subject

  1 Compt

  1 D/Compt

  1 C/OG

  - 1 AnG Chrono

CIA Response

to

Congressionally Directed Action

on CRAFT

SECRET

SUBJECT:

Response to concerns of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) concerning CRAFT

I. Concern: Planned capabilities for CRAFT terminals do not provide an ability to read more than one document at a time, or to read and write simultaneously. This suggests the security goal of reducing station file holdings could inadvertently be compromised by the perceived need for supplemental paper copies of certain documents. Please provide the Committee an assessment of the feasibility and cost effectiveness of incorporating a split-screen, read-and-write capability into the CRAFT system.

Response: A split screen/simultaneous read-write feature would certainly be a desirable addition to the CRAFT configuration, and the commercial supplier of the systems to be employed by CRAFT has been formally queried to determine the technical and economic feasibility of providing this capability. Citing corporate interests and other design commitments as reasons, the vendor's response indicated that, even with Government funding, they do not consider it a viable enhancement at this time. Because of the complexity of the needed hardware/software modifications and the vendor's proprietary policy, it would not be feasible for the Agency to undertake the development of the capability in question. Further, the vendor has voiced concern that this feature could adversely impact on system performance. This issue is not considered closed, however, and the Agency will continue to monitor developments that would allow the implementation of these capabilities.

The security goals of the program are not, however, considered to be in jeopardy if the split screen feature is not included. The system can accommodate, with relative ease, the paging between documents. Although not as convenient as a split screen, it will enable the user to move from a document being created or reviewed to other documents in the system and back to the original document with just a few key strokes. Further, if the need for a multi-screen becomes paramount, it may well be more cost effective to use a second workstation that would provide full search and review capability for reference documents without costly and time-consuming development and the potential for system performance degradation.

